19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Oscar Ramirez (State Bar No. 236768) Matthew P. Blair (State Bar No. 278411) Kirill Lavinski (State Bar No. 326939) BLAIR & RAMIREZ LLP

515 South Flower Street, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Tel: (213) 568-4000 • Fax: (213) 568-4100

Attorneys for Plaintiff JENNIFER SPARGIFIORE

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

JENNIFER SPARGIFIORE, an individual, Plaintiff,

v.

PANDA RESTRAURANT GROUP, INC., a California corporation; ALIVE SEMINARS AND COACHING ACADEMY, a California nonprofit corporation; and DOES 1-20, inclusive,

Defendants.

21STCV07909 Case No.

#### **COMPLAINT**

- (1) Sexual Battery (Cal. Civ. Code § 1708.5);
- (2) Hostile Work Environment Harassment Based on Sex/Gender in Violation of the FEHA (Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(j));
- (3) Failure to Prevent Harassment in Violation of the FEHA (Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(k));
- (4) Constructive Discharge in Violation of Public Policy;
- (5) Intentional Infliction of Emotional **Distress**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

Plaintiff, JENNIFER SPARGIFIORE ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, alleges upon personal knowledge as to her own acts, and upon information and belief as to other acts, as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. Plaintiff hereby brings this complaint for compensatory, special, general, and punitive damages under California's Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) for hostile work environment harassment and failure to prevent harassment. Plaintiff also brings this complaint for compensatory, special, general, and punitive damages for sexual battery, constructive discharge in violation of public policy, and intentional infliction of emotional distress. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants' violations because their actions against Plaintiff occurred in the State of California and the amount in controversy exceeds the Court's jurisdictional minimum.
- 2. Venue is proper under Code of Civil Procedure §§ 395(a) and 395.5 because Defendants do business in Los Angeles County and the acts alleged herein took place within Los Angeles County. Further, Plaintiff at all relevant resided in Los Angeles County and was employed by Defendant Panda Restaurant Group, Inc. within Los Angeles County.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff Jennifer Spargifiore ("Ms. Spargifiore" or "Plaintiff") is an individual over the age of eighteen (18). At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was a California resident residing in Los Angeles County. Plaintiff started working for Defendant Panda Restaurant Group, Inc. ("Panda Express") on or about August 10, 2016 and was constructively discharged by Panda Express on or about July 15, 2019.
- 4. Defendant Panda Express is a California corporation doing business in Los Angeles County and throughout the country under several brands, including the Panda Express chain of restaurants. Plaintiff was employed at the Panda Express located at 26447 Bouquet Canyon Road, Santa Clarita, CA 91350.
- 5. Defendant Alive Seminars and Coaching Academy ("Alive Seminars") (Panda Express and Alive collectively referred to as "Defendants") is a California nonprofit corporation doing business in Los Angeles County. Alive Seminars conducts Panda Express-sponsored "self improvement" seminars attended exclusively, or nearly exclusively, by Panda Express employees.

- 6. The true names and capacities of defendants named herein Does 1 through 20, inclusive, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such defendants by fictitious names pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show such true names and capacities of Does 1 through 20, inclusive, when they have been determined.
- 7. At all times mentioned herein, each Defendant was the agent and employee of each and all of the other Defendants and was acting in the course of such agency and employment.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 8. Plaintiff started working at the Panda Express restaurant located at 26447 Bouquet Canyon Road, Santa Clarita, CA 91350 on or about August 10, 2016. Her job duties entailed working the counter as a cashier, ensuring customer satisfaction, ensuring store cleanliness, and closing the cash till at the end of the day.
- 9. Plaintiff has also worked at other nearby Panda Express locations as required by Panda Express.
- 10. During her time as a Panda Express employee, she was subjected to difficult and harsh conditions. For example, Panda Express supervisors once told Plaintiff that she was not allowed to be sick and must come in for all her scheduled shifts. In another instance, Plaintiff was required to abandon a family funeral when she was called into work.
- 11. Yet, despite her harsh treatment from Panda Express supervisors, Plaintiff was a self-motivated and ambitious employee who was eager to move up within the supervisory and managerial ranks at Panda Express. Indeed, she had already earned several pay raises through her diligence and the quality of her work.
- 12. Seeking to continue advancing and taking on greater responsibilities, Plaintiff frequently inquired of her managers what steps she should take to maximize her opportunities for promotion. Panda Express sends Plaintiff to the Alive Seminars and Coaching Academy
- 13. The "area coach of operation" (ACO) in charge of Plaintiff's store, Matthiu Simuda, informed Plaintiff that in order to be considered for promotion, she needed to complete a "self-improvement" seminar run by Alive Seminars. Eager to improve her skills and advance

within the company, Plaintiff signed up and paid out of pocket to attend a four-day program starting on July 11, 2019 (the "July Seminar").

- 14. Alive Seminars offer a series of "self-improvement" classes that run from anywhere from 1 to 4 days.
- 15. Alive Seminars has a close association with Panda Express. At the July Seminar, for example, every person in attendance was a Panda Express employee.
- 16. Panda Express pushed its employees in the Los Angeles region to complete Alive Seminars training. In many cases, it was a prerequisite to promotion. Panda Express even paid some of its employees to attend (while others, like Plaintiff, were forced to spend several hundred dollars out-of-pocket). At the seminar, Panda Express employees were required to provide their employee ID numbers so that the seminar fee could be debited directly from their Panda Express employee accounts, if they were one of the employees for whom Panda Express was paying. All employees received seminar materials featuring the Panda Express logo. Alive Seminars served in essence as an extension of Panda Express' own Human Resources department.

#### Plaintiff is subjected to abuse and harassment at the seminars

- 17. From the beginning, the Panda-sponsored Alive seminar attended by Ms. Spargifiore was bizarre and quickly devolved into psychological abuse. At the start, the attendees were told to sit down and not talk, and were left in eerie isolation for a full hour before a man stormed in, yelling in Spanish and berating the attendees for sitting there and doing nothing when that is exactly what they had been instructed to do. The man, an Alive Seminars employee, loudly proclaimed that the attendees are "nothing" and "don't matter," rounding on some people to berate them individually, spittle flying. The overall effect was that of a particularly nasty drill sergeant yelling into Ms. Spargifiore's face.
- 18. It became apparent almost immediately that the goal of the seminar staff was to isolate and intimidate Ms. Spargifiore and the other attendees. The attendees were prohibited from using their cell phones; there was no clock in the room; the doors and windows were all covered with black cloth. The atmosphere resembled less a self-improvement seminar than a site for off-the-books interrogation of terrorist suspects. The sensory isolation and intimidation was

11 12 13

10

14 15

17

18

16

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

reinforced by constant yelling and verbal abuse by seminar staff, creating an atmosphere of fear in the room.

- 19. Nevertheless, most attendees, including Plaintiff, felt that they had no choice but to remain because they were sent to the seminar by Panda Express and told that their opportunity for promotion would depend on completion of the seminar.
- 20. On Friday, July 12, 2019 Plaintiff was forced to participate in an "exercise" where the seminar attendees were to pretend that they are on a sinking ship and that only four of them get to live. Each participant in turn was then informed by their peers whether they would live or die. Meanwhile, seminar staff continued to yell abuse to the effect that nobody will care if Plaintiff, or the other participants, live or die because they do not stand out sufficiently.
- 21. On Saturday, July 13, 2019, Plaintiff showed up to find a new "exercise" wherein she was forced to strip down to her underwear under the guise of "trust-building." Plaintiff – stripped almost naked in front of strangers and co-workers – was extremely uncomfortable but pressed on because she knew it was her only chance at a promotion. Plaintiff felt extremely uncomfortable at the situation but compelled to continue because her prospects for advancement at Panda Express depended on completion of the seminar.
- Meanwhile, Alive Seminars staff were openly ogling the women in their state of 22. undress, smiling, and laughing.
- 23. The exercise culminated when Plaintiff, along with other participants, had to take turns standing up to yell about their inner struggles until everyone else in the group "believed" them. The last male participant had some difficulty "convincing" the others and as a result, broke down in tears. Plaintiff was told to stand up and go to the middle of the room with the male participant, where they were forced to "hug it out" wearing nothing but their underwear. Plaintiff was humiliated but did as she was told.
- 24. The seminar more and more resembled a cult initiation ritual as time went on. Alive Seminars staff proceeded to dim the lights. Plaintiff and the other attendees were instructed to stand up and close their eyes, pretending that a light from above would come down and take all the "negative energy" out of them, then pretend that a hole opened up in the ground and

swallowed the "negative energy." While this was happening, one of the Alive Seminars staff had a cell phone with the light on, recording Plaintiff in her state of undress.

- 25. The afternoon session on July 13 was to proceed in a similar manner. At this point, Plaintiff had had enough and made an excuse of a family emergency to leave the seminar.
- 26. The entire time the seminar was being conducted, Plaintiff and the other attendees were confined in an atmosphere of fear and intimidation. If Plaintiff wanted to use the restroom, someone from the Alive Seminars staff would stand outside the restroom door. When another participant ran into the restroom to throw up, Alive Seminars staff ran after her. Another male participant was only given a small trash can to throw up in and was forced to do it in front of all the other attendees.
- 27. Plaintiff went into the July Seminar hopeful and optimistic about her future at Panda Express. She left it three days later scarred and downtrodden. Panda Express told her to attend the Alive Seminar and made it clear that any promotion depended on it. Panda Express, however, did not care about Plaintiff's experience at Alive Seminars or that she had been humiliated in front of her co-workers. Her chances of promotion were destroyed. She had been forced to strip in-front of her co-workers. Plaintiff's working conditions had become intolerable and Panda Express had no interest in addressing the situation. As a result, Plaintiff was constructively terminated from her position with Panda Express in July 2019.
- 28. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies by filing a DFEH complaint and obtaining a right to sue on August 19, 2020.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## SEXUAL BATTERY (CAL. CIV. CODE § 1708.5)

#### (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 29. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Plaintiff was forced to have, and did in fact suffer, unwanted and offensive contact with a male Panda Express employee that included contact with her breasts when she was ordered to "hug it out" with the male Panda Express employee while dressed only in underwear.

- 31. Panda Express funneled its employees into Alive Seminars courses, made completion of these specific seminars a prerequisite for promotion for certain employees, allowed Alive Seminars the use of its trademarks on seminar materials, and created an actual and/or apparent principal-agent relationship between itself and Alive Seminars.
- 32. In coercing Plaintiff to have close an intimate contact with a male Panda Express employee, which resulted in the aforementioned harmful and sexually offensive contact, Alive Seminars staff acted with the intent to cause the harmful and sexually offensive contact in the course and scope of their agency with Panda Express.
- 33. These actions of Alive Seminars staff were committed in the course of a series of acts which were authorized by Panda Express, namely the conduct of the seminar as a whole.
- 34. Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged above was a substantial factor in causing damage and/or injury to Plaintiff.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts and failures to act, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer economic loss and damages, physical ailments, emotional distress, and attorneys' fees and costs in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 36. 44. The conduct of Defendants, and their agents and employees as described herein, was malicious and/or oppressive, and done with a willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and for the deleterious consequences to Plaintiff of Defendants' actions. Defendants, and their agents and employees, authorized, condoned, and ratified the unlawful conduct of each other. Consequently, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT HARASSMENT BASED ON SEX/GENDER IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA (CAL. GOV. CODE § 12940(j)) (AGAINST DEFENDANT PANDA EXPRESS)

- 37. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. At all times herein mentioned, California's Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"), Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12900, et seq., was in full force and effect and was fully binding

///

///

///

28 ///

upon Panda Express. Specifically, §§ 12940(j) prohibits an employer from sexually harassing an employee on the basis of her sex.

- 39. The actions of Alive Seminar staff at the Panda Express-sponsored seminar, as described herein, were so severe that they created a hostile and abusive sexual environment which materially altered Plaintiff's working conditions and which constitutes sexual harassment in violation of Gov't Code § 12940(j)(1).
- 40. Panda Express had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the conduct of Alive Seminar staff.
- 41. Panda Express failed to take any action to prevent or remedy the harassing conduct of Alive Seminar staff, and in fact condoned it by requiring Panda Express employees to attend the seminars if they wanted to position themselves for promotion within the company.
- 42. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the hostile work environment was the sole, direct, and proximate cause of Plaintiff's constructive termination, in violation of Gov't Code § 12940(a) et seq.
- 43. Panda Express' unlawful conduct as alleged above was a substantial factor in causing damage and/or injury to Plaintiff.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of Panda Express' acts and failures to act, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer economic loss and damages, physical ailments, emotional distress, and attorneys' fees and costs in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 45. The conduct of Panda Express, and its agents and employees as described herein, was malicious and/or oppressive, and done with a willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and for the deleterious consequences to Plaintiff of Panda Express' actions. Panda Express, and its agents and employees, authorized, condoned, and ratified the unlawful conduct of each other. Consequently, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Panda.

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

### 

## 

### 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

## FAILURE TO PREVENT HARASSMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA (AGAINST DEFENDANT PANDA EXPRESS)

- 46. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. Panda Express knew or should have known that the conduct of the Alive Seminars staff created a hostile and abusive environment based on Plaintiff's sex, which materially altered the conditions of Plaintiff's employment.
- 48. Nonetheless, Panda Express failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action, or indeed any action at all, to remedy the hostile and abusive environment based on Plaintiff's sex, endorsing the actions of Alive Seminars staff and requiring Plaintiff and other of its employees to endure those actions as a condition and prerequisite for promotion.
- 49. Panda Express thus adopted, ratified, and endorsed the offending conduct and authorized it as if it had been its own policy, rendering Panda Express vicariously liable for the actions of its actual and/or apparent agent, Alive Seminars.
- 50. Panda Express' unlawful conduct as alleged above was a substantial factor in causing damage and/or injury to Plaintiff.
- 51. As a direct and proximate result of Panda Express' acts and failures to act, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer economic loss and damages, physical ailments, emotional distress, and attorneys' fees and costs in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 52. The conduct of Panda Express, and its agents and employees as described herein, was malicious and/or oppressive, and done with a willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and for the deleterious consequences to Plaintiff of Panda Express' actions. Panda Express, and its agents and employees, authorized, condoned, and ratified the unlawful conduct of each other. Consequently, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Panda Express.

///

## 2

### 3 4

## 5

## 6 7

### 8 9

### 10 11

## 12

#### 13 14

## 15

### 16 17

## 18

### 19 20

## 21 22

## 23

### 24 25

#### 26

### 27

#### 28

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## CONSTRUCTIVE DISCHARGE IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY (AGAINST DEFENDANT PANDA EXPRESS)

- 53. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- California has enshrined its public interest in eradicating harassment in the 54. workplace on account of someone's sex or gender. Under the FEHA, employers are prohibited from harassment against employees for their sex or gender. An employer who violates the FEHA violates the public policy advanced by California law.
- 55. During the Panda Express-sponsored seminar, Panda Express knowingly and intentionally created working conditions, and subjected Plaintiff to the same, so intolerable that Plaintiff had no reasonable alternative but to resign.
- 56. Plaintiff resigned as a result of these intolerable working conditions, and Panda Express' unlawful conduct as alleged above was a substantial factor in causing damage and/or injury to Plaintiff.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of Panda Express' acts and failures to act, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer economic loss and damages, physical ailments, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and attorneys' fees and costs in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 58. The conduct of Panda Express, and its agents and employees, as described herein, was malicious and/or oppressive, and done with a willful and conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and for the deleterious consequences to Plaintiff of Panda Express' actions. Panda Express and its agents and employees authorized, condoned, and ratified the unlawful conduct of each other. Consequently, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Panda Express.

///

## 2

## 3

## 5

## 67

## 8

## 10

## 12

## 13

#### 14 15

## 16

## 17

#### 18

## 19

## 2021

### 22 23

## 2425

## 2627

## 28

#### **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

#### (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 59. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. The conduct of Defendants as set forth above was so extreme and outrageous that it exceeded the boundaries of human decency. This conduct was intended to cause severe emotional distress, or was done in reckless disregard of the probability of causing severe emotional distress.
- 61. As an actual and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer severe and continuous humiliation, emotional distress, and physical and mental pain and anguish, all to her damage in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.
- 62. Defendants committed the acts herein maliciously, fraudulently, oppressively, and despicably, with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Plaintiff is thus entitled to recover punitive damages from Defendant, in an amount according to proof.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment for herself against Defendants, as follows:

- 1. Upon the First Cause of action, for compensatory, consequential, general, and special damages according to proof pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1708.5;
- 2. Upon the Second Cause of Action, for compensatory, consequential, general, and special damages according to proof pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code § 12900 et seq.;
- 3. Upon the Third Cause of Action, for compensatory, consequential, general, and special damages according to proof pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code § 12900 et seq.;
- 4. Upon the Fourth Cause of Action, for compensatory, consequential, general, and special damages according to proof for Defendants' constructive discharge in violation of public policy;

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff JENNIFER SPARGIFIORE hereby demands a jury trial with respect to all

4 issues triable by jury.

DATED: February 25, 2021

**BLAIR & RAMIREZ LLP** 

By: Oscar Ramire

Oscar Ramirez, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
JENNIFER SPARGIFIORE